

**ANALELE UNIVERSITATII DIN ORADEA  
FASCICOLA MATEMATICA, TOM VI, 1997-1998**

**INTRODUCTORY TOPICS IN BINARY SET FUNCTIONS**

Serban E. Vlad  
str. Zimbrului, nr.3, bl.PB68, et.2, ap.11, 3700, Oradea, Romania  
serban\_e\_vlad@yahoo.com

**Abstract** Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  an arbitrary set and  $U \subset 2^X$  a non-empty set of subsets. The function  $\mu: U \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is called binary set function. If  $\mu$  is countably additive, then it is called a measure. The paper gives some definitions and properties of these functions, its purpose being that of suggesting the reconstruction of the measure theory within this frame, by analogy with [1], [2].

**AMS Classification:** 28A60, 28A25.

**Keywords:** additive and countably additive binary set functions, derivable binary measures, the Lebesgue-Stieltjes binary measure, the integration of a binary function relative to a binary measure.

**1. Set Rings and Function Rings**

1.1 We note with  $B_2$  the set  $\{0,1\}$ , called the *binary Boole* (or *Boolean*) algebra, together with the discrete topology, the order  $0 \leq 1$  and the laws: the logical complement ' $\bar{\phantom{x}}$ ', the reunion ' $\cup$ ', the product ' $\cdot$ ', the modulo 2 sum ' $\oplus$ ', the coincidence ' $\otimes$ ':

$\begin{array}{c cc} \bar{\phantom{x}} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$ <p>a)</p>	$\begin{array}{c cc} \cup & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$ <p>b)</p>	$\begin{array}{c cc} \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$ <p>c)</p>	$\begin{array}{c cc} \oplus & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$ <p>d)</p>	$\begin{array}{c cc} \otimes & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$ <p>e)</p>
---	--	---	--	---

table (1)

1.2 Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be an arbitrary set, that we shall call the *total set*. In the set  $2^X$  of the subsets of  $X$ , the order is given by the inclusion and the laws are: the complementary relative to  $X$ : ' $\bar{\phantom{x}}$ ', the reunion ' $\vee$ ', the difference ' $-$ ', the intersection ' $\wedge$ ', the symmetrical difference ' $\Delta$ ' and the coincidence ' $\Theta$ ' that is defined like this:

$$A \Theta B = \overline{A \Delta B} \tag{1}$$

1.3 **Theorem** Let  $U \subset 2^X$  a set of subsets of  $X$ . The next statements are equivalent:

- a)  $A, B \in U \Rightarrow A \vee B, A - B \in U$
- b)  $A, B \in U \Rightarrow A \Delta B, A \wedge B \in U$

and the next statements are equivalent too:

- c)  $A, B \in U \Rightarrow A \wedge B, \overline{B - A} \in U$
- d)  $A, B \in U \Rightarrow A \Theta B, A \vee B \in U$

- 1.4 **Remark** In the previous theorem, the conditions a), c); b), d) are dual.
- 1.5 a) The set  $U$  that fulfills one of 1.3 a), b) is called *set ring*, or *ring of subsets of  $X$*  (on  $X$ ). N. Bourbaki calls such a set *clan*.  
 b) Similarly, if  $U$  fulfills one of 1.3 c), d), it is called *set ring*, or *ring of subsets of  $X$*  (on  $X$ ), the dual structure of the structure from a).
- 1.6 **Remark** a)  $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$  is really a non-unitary, commutative ring. Its neuter element is  $\emptyset$ .  
 b)  $(U, \Theta, \vee)$  is itself a non-unitary, commutative ring. Its neuter element is  $X$ .
- 1.7 a) If  $X$  belongs to the ring  $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$ , then  $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$  is called a *set algebra*.  
 b) If  $\emptyset$  belongs to the ring  $(U, \Theta, \vee)$ , then  $(U, \Theta, \vee)$  is called a *set algebra* too.
- 1.8 **Remark** a) The condition that  $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$  is a set algebra ( $(U, \Theta, \vee)$  is a set algebra) implies the one that  $U$  is a unitary set ring, because if  $X \in U$  (if  $\emptyset \in U$ ), then it is the unit of the ring.  
 b) Generally speaking, the unit, if it exists, is given by  $\bigvee_{A \in U} A$  (by  $\bigwedge_{A \in U} A$ ).
- 1.9 **Remark** The set algebras are not what is usually meant by the  $F$ -algebra structures, where  $F$  is a field.

1.10 Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  a function. Its *support* is by definition the set:

$$\text{supp } f = \{x \mid x \in X, f(x) = 1\} \quad (1)$$

1.11 If

$$\text{supp } f = A \quad (1)$$

$f$  will be noted sometimes with  $\chi_A$ . This function is called the *characteristic function* of the set  $A \subset X$ .

1.12 Let us define for the set ring  $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$ , respectively for the set ring  $(U, \Theta, \vee)$ , the set

$$U' = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, \text{supp } f \in U\} \quad (1)$$

1.13  $(U', \oplus, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $(U', \otimes, \cup, \cup)$  are  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebras, where ' $\cdot$ ' is the symbol of two laws: the product of the functions and the product of the functions with scalars (both induced from  $\mathbf{B}_2$ ), while ' $\cup$ ' is the dual of ' $\cdot$ '.

1.14 The associations

$$U \ni A \leftrightarrow \chi_A \in U'$$

are ring isomorphisms. They allow us many times to identify the set rings  $U \subset 2^X$  and the function rings  $U' \subset \mathbf{B}_2^X$ .

## 2. Additive and Countably Additive Set Functions

2.1 **Theorem** Let  $U \subset 2^X$  a non-empty family of subsets of  $X$  and  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  a function.

a) If  $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$  is a set ring, then the next statements are equivalent:

$$\text{a.1) } \quad \forall A, B \in U, A \wedge B = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu(A \vee B) = \mu(A) \oplus \mu(B) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{a.2) } \quad \forall A, B \in U, \mu(A \Delta B) = \mu(A) \oplus \mu(B) \quad (2)$$

b) If  $(U, \Theta, \vee)$  is a set ring, then the next statements are equivalent:

$$\text{b.1) } \quad \forall A, B \in U, A \vee B = X \Rightarrow \mu(A \wedge B) = \mu(A) \otimes \mu(B) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{b.2) } \quad \forall A, B \in U, \mu(A \Theta B) = \mu(A) \otimes \mu(B) \quad (4)$$

2.2 a) Let  $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$  be a set ring. A function  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  that fulfills one of the equivalent conditions 2.1 a.1), a.2) is called *additive*, or *finitely additive*.

b) In a dual manner, let  $(U, \Theta, \vee)$  be a set ring. A function  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  that fulfills one of the equivalent conditions 2.1 b.1), b.2) is called *additive\**, or *finitely additive\**.

2.3 The sets of functions  $U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  which are additive, respectively additive\* are noted with  $Ad(U)$ , respectively  $Ad^*(U)$ . They are naturally organized as  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -linear spaces.

2.4 **Theorem** a) Let  $\mu \in Ad(U)$ . For  $A, B \in U$ , we have:

$$\text{a.1) } \quad \mu(\emptyset) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{a.2) } \quad \mu(A - B) = \mu(A) \oplus \mu(A \wedge B) \quad (2)$$

$$\text{a.3) } \quad \mu(A \vee B) \oplus \mu(A \wedge B) \oplus \mu(A \Delta B) = 0 \quad (3)$$

b) If  $\mu \in Ad^*(U)$ , then the next properties are true:

$$\text{b.1) } \quad \mu(X) = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\text{b.2) } \quad \mu(\overline{B - A}) = \mu(A) \otimes \mu(A \vee B) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{b.3) } \quad \mu(A \wedge B) \otimes \mu(A \vee B) \otimes \mu(A \Theta B) = 1 \quad (6)$$

where  $A, B \in U$ .

2.5 Let  $a : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ ,

$$a_n \stackrel{def}{=} a(n), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (1)$$

a binary sequence. If the support of  $a : \{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, a_n = 1\}$  is a finite set, then the summation modulo 2 has sense:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} a_n = \begin{cases} 1, & |supp a| \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & |supp a| \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where we have noted with  $|\cdot|$  the number of elements of a finite set and where, by definition:

$$|\emptyset| = 0 \quad (3)$$

is even. If the support of  $a$  is not finite, then the symbol  $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} a_n$  refers to a divergent series.

2.6 Let  $A : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ ,

$$A_n \stackrel{def}{=} A(n), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (1)$$

a sequence of sets. If for any  $x \in X$  the set  $\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in A_n\}$  is finite, then the symmetrical difference has sense:

$$\Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n = \{x \mid x \in X, |\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in A_n\}| \text{ is odd}\} \quad (2)$$

and if not, the symbol  $\Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n$  refers to a divergent series of sets.

**2.7 Theorem** Let  $(U, \Delta, \wedge) \subset 2^X$  be a set ring and  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  a function. The following statements are equivalent:

a) For any sequence of sets  $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the conditions

a.1)  $n \neq m \Rightarrow A_n \wedge A_m = \emptyset$

and

a.2)  $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in U$

imply

a.3)  $\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, \mu(A_n) = 1\}$  is finite

and

a.4)  $\mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n) = \Xi_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_n)$  (1)

b) For any sequence of sets  $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the conditions

b.1)  $\forall x \in X, \{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in A_n\}$  is finite

and

b.2)  $\Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in U$

imply

b.3)  $\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, \mu(A_n) = 1\}$  is finite

and

b.4)  $\mu(\Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n) = \Xi_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_n)$  (2)

**Proof** a)  $\Rightarrow$  b) Let  $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$  so that b.1), b.2) are true under the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in X, \{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in A_n\} &\in \{0, 1\} \\ \Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n &= \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in U \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

a.1), a.2) being fulfilled, a.3), a.4) are also fulfilled, thus b.3), b.4) are fulfilled.

b)  $\Rightarrow$  a) If  $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$  satisfies a.1), a.2), then b.1), b.2) are true, thus b.3), b.4) are true resulting that a.3), a.4) are fulfilled.

**2.8** a) A function  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  that satisfies one of the equivalent conditions 2.7 a), b) is called *countably additive*, or *measure*.

b) We take in consideration the duals of 2.5, 2.6, 2.7. A function  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  that fulfills one of the duals of the previous equivalent conditions is called *countably additive\** or *measure\**.

**2.9** The sets of countably additive, respectively countably additive\*  $U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  functions are noted with  $Ad_c(U)$ , respectively with  $Ad_c^*(U)$ .

These sets are  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -linear spaces.

2.10 The inclusions  $Ad_c(\mathbf{U}) \subset Ad(\mathbf{U})$ ,  $Ad_c^*(\mathbf{U}) \subset Ad^*(\mathbf{U})$  are easily shown.

2.11 The terminology of additive function, countably additive function and measure is the same if the domain of the function is a  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra  $\mathbf{U}'$  included in  $\mathbf{B}_2^X$ , via the identification from 1.14.

### 3. Examples

3.1 Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{U} \subset 2^X$  a set ring. The null function  $0: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is a measure; it is the null element of the linear space  $Ad_c(\mathbf{U})$ .

3.2 Suppose that  $\mu: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is a measure and  $A \in \mathbf{U}$ . The function  $\mu_1: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  that is defined by:

$$\mu_1(B) = \mu(A \wedge B), B \in \mathbf{U} \quad (1)$$

is a measure, called the *restriction of  $\mu$  at  $A$* .

**Proof** Let  $A_n \in \mathbf{U}, n \in \mathbf{N}$  be disjoint two by two with  $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in \mathbf{U}$ , resulting that the sets  $A \wedge A_n \in \mathbf{U}, n \in \mathbf{N}$  are disjoint two by two with

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (A \wedge A_n) = A \wedge \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in \mathbf{U} \quad (2)$$

Because  $\mu$  is a measure, the set  $\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, \mu(A \wedge A_n) = 1\}$  is finite and it is true:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1\left(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n\right) &= \mu\left(A \wedge \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (A \wedge A_n)\right) = \\ &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A \wedge A_n) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_1(A_n) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

3.3 We fix  $x_0 \in X$ . The function  $\chi^{\{x_0\}}: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  defined by:

$$\chi^{\{x_0\}}(A) = \chi_A(x_0), A \in \mathbf{U} \quad (1)$$

is a measure. More general, the sum of these functions is a measure too and this means that to each finite set  $H \subset X$  it is associated a function  $\chi^H: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  defined in the following way:

$$\chi^H(A) = \bigoplus_{x \in H} \chi_A(x), A \in \mathbf{U} \quad (2)$$

When  $H$  is the empty set, we find the example 3.1.

3.4  $(S_2, \oplus, \bullet, \cdot)$  is the  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the binary sequences  $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_2, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , where the sum of the sequences ' $\oplus$ ', the product of the sequences ' $\bullet$ ' and the product of the sequences with scalars ' $\cdot$ ' is made coordinatewise. We mention here that the families of sequences

$(x_n^p)_n \in S_2, p \in \mathbf{N}$  that are disjoint two by two are these that satisfy:

$$p \neq p' \Rightarrow \forall n, x_n^p \cdot x_n^{p'} = 0 \quad (1)$$

Let  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and we define  $\mu_k: S_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  by:

$$\mu_k((x_n)) = x_k, (x_n) \in S_2 \quad (2)$$

- the projection of the vector  $(x_n)$  of  $S_2$  on the  $k$ -th coordinate. More general, if  $H \subset \mathbf{N}$  is a finite set

$$H = \{k_1, \dots, k_p\} \quad (3)$$

then we have the sum of functions  $\mu_H : S_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ ,

$$\mu_H = \mu_{k_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_{k_p} \quad (4)$$

$\mu_k$  and  $\mu_H$  are countably additive; if  $H$  is empty, then  $\mu_H$  is by definition the null function.

3.5 a) We say that the sequence  $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_2, n \in \mathbf{N}$  converges to  $x^0 \in \mathbf{B}_2$  if

$$\exists N \in \mathbf{N}, \forall n \geq N, x_n = x^0 \quad (1)$$

If so, the unique  $x^0$  with this property (because  $x$  is a function) is called the *limit of  $(x_n)$* . If the previous statement is made under the weaker form: the sequence  $(x_n)$  is *convergent*, this means that such an  $x^0$  like at (1) (uniquely) exists. The limit of the sequence  $(x_n)$  has the usual notation  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ .

b)  $(S_2^0, \oplus, \bullet, \cdot)$  is the  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the binary sequences  $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_2, n \in \mathbf{N}$  that converge to 0. We define the measure  $\mu : S_2^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  by

$$\mu((x_n)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} x_n, (x_n) \in S_2^0 \quad (1)$$

3.6  $(S_2^c, \oplus, \bullet, \cdot)$  is the  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the convergent binary sequences  $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_2, n \in \mathbf{N}$  and we define  $\mu : S_2^c \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  by:

$$\mu((x_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, (x_n) \in S_2^c \quad (1)$$

$\mu$  is additive, but it is not countably additive. In order to see this, we give the example of the sequence of convergent sequences (the canonical base of  $S_2^c$ ):

$$\varepsilon^n : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, \varepsilon^n(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = m \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}, m, n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (2)$$

$(\varepsilon^n)_n$  are disjoint two by two, their reunion is the constant 1 sequence that is convergent and on the other hand

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \varepsilon^n\right) = 1 \neq 0 = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(\varepsilon^n) \quad (3)$$

3.7 A variant of 3.4 is obtained if we take instead of  $S_2 = \mathbf{B}_2^{\mathbf{N}}$  an arbitrary function  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra  $U \subset \mathbf{B}_2^X$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$ ; the function  $\mu_{x_0} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  defined like this:

$$\mu_{x_0}(f) = f(x_0), f \in U \quad (1)$$

is a measure. More general, if  $H \subset X$  is a finite set, then the function  $\mu_H : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  defined in the following manner:

$$\mu_H(f) = \sum_{x \in H} f(x), f \in U \quad (2)$$

is a measure. If  $H$  is empty, then by definition  $\mu_H$  is the null function.

We mention the fact that  $f^p \in U, p \in \mathbf{N}$  are disjoint two by two if

$$p \neq p' \Rightarrow \forall x \in X, f^p(x) \cdot f^{p'}(x) = 0 \quad (3)$$

3.8 We note with  $R_f(X)$  the ring - relative to  $\Delta, \wedge$  - of the finite subsets of  $X$ . The function  $\mu_f^X : R_f(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ ,

$$\mu_f^X(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & |A| \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & |A| \text{ is even} \end{cases}, A \in R_f(X) \quad (1)$$

is a measure, called the *finite Boolean measure*.

3.9 We note with  $Inf_f$  the ring of the *inferiorly finite sets*  $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ , i.e. the sets with the following property:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, (-\infty, \alpha) \wedge A \text{ is finite}$$

We fix some  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  and we define  $\mu_\alpha : Inf_f \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  by:

$$\mu_\alpha(A) = \mu_f((-\infty, \alpha) \wedge A), A \in Inf_f \quad (1)$$

$\mu_\alpha$  is countably additive: for any family  $A_n \in Inf_f, n \in \mathbf{N}$  of two by two disjoint sets so that  $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in Inf_f$ , only a finite number of sets  $A_n$  fulfill  $(-\infty, \alpha) \wedge A_n \neq \emptyset$  etc.

3.10 a) Let  $X \subset \mathbf{R}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$  a point so that

$$\forall t' < t, (t', t) \wedge X \text{ is infinite}$$

b) We say that the function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  has a *left limit in  $t$* , noted with  $f(t-0) \in \mathbf{B}_2$ , if the next property is true:

$$\exists t' < t, \forall \xi \in (t', t) \wedge X, f(\xi) = f(t-0) \quad (1)$$

c) We note with  $Lim_{\bar{X}}(t)$  the  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  functions that have a left limit in  $t$ .

d) The function  $\mu : Lim_{\bar{X}}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ ;

$$\mu(f) = f(t-0), f \in Lim_{\bar{X}}(t) \quad (1)$$

is a measure, this example being analogue to 3.7.

e) Other examples of measures of the same type with this one may be given.

3.11 a) For  $a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ , the *symmetrical interval*  $[[a, b))$  is defined by:

$$[[a, b)) = \begin{cases} [a, b), & a < b \\ [b, a), & b < a \\ \emptyset, & b = a \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

b) We note with  $Sym^-$  the set ring - relative to  $\Delta, \wedge$  - generated by the symmetrical intervals  $[[a, b))$ .

c) We define  $\mu : Sym^- \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  by:

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sup A = \infty \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $A \in \text{Sym}^-$ . Because in a sequence of sets  $A_n \in \text{Sym}^-$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  that are disjoint two by two with  $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in \text{Sym}^-$  at most one satisfies the condition  $\sup A_n = \infty$ , it may be shown that  $\mu$  is a measure.

3.12 a) We define the next  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebras of functions  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ :

$$I_{[[a,b))} = \{f \mid [[a,b)) \wedge \text{supp } f \text{ is finite}\}, a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\} \quad (1)$$

$$I_\infty = \{f \mid \text{supp } f \text{ is finite}\} \quad (2)$$

and the integrals

$$\int_a^{b^-} f = \Xi_{t \in [[a,b))} f(t), f \in I_{[[a,b))} \quad (3)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f = \Xi_{t \in \mathbf{R}} f(t), f \in I_\infty \quad (4)$$

b) The next  $I_{[[a,b))} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ ,  $I_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  functions:

$$\mu(f) = \int_a^{b^-} f, f \in I_{[[a,b))} \quad (5)$$

$$\mu(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f, f \in I_\infty \quad (6)$$

are measures.

3.13 a) The set  $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^{\mathbf{R}}$  defined in the next way:

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(a_1, b_1) \Delta \dots \Delta (a_p, b_p) \Delta \{c_1, \dots, c_n\} \mid a_1, b_1, \dots, a_p, b_p, c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbf{R}, p, n \in \mathbf{N}\} \quad (1)$$

is a ring of subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  and we have supposed that

$$p = 0 \Rightarrow (a_1, b_1) \Delta \dots \Delta (a_p, b_p) = \emptyset \quad (2)$$

$$n = 0 \Rightarrow \{c_1, \dots, c_n\} = \emptyset \quad (3)$$

b) The function  $\mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  given by:

$$\mu\{(a_1, b_1) \Delta \dots \Delta (a_p, b_p) \Delta \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}\} = \pi(p+n) \quad (4)$$

where  $\pi: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is the parity function:

$$\pi(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}, m \in \mathbf{N} \quad (5)$$

- is additive, but it is not countably additive. In order to see this fact, we take the sequence

$$\left[\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \Delta \left\{\frac{1}{n+2}\right\} \in \mathcal{S}, n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (6)$$

of sets that are disjoint two by two, satisfying

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \left[\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right) = (0, 1) \in \mathcal{S} \quad (7)$$



$$\{n \mid \mu([\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}]) = 1\} = \emptyset \quad (8)$$

$$\mu((0,1)) = 1 \neq 0 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu([\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}]) \quad (9)$$

3.14 a) We note with

$$R_f^*(X) = \{H \mid H \subset X, \overline{H} \text{ is finite}\} \quad (1)$$

This set is a set ring relative to  $\Theta, \vee$  and it is the dual structure of  $R_f(X)$ .

b) A typical example of measure\* is given by the function  $\mu_f^{*X} : R_f^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  that is defined in the next manner:

$$\mu_f^{*X}(H) = \begin{cases} 0, & |\overline{H}| \text{ is odd} \\ 1, & |\overline{H}| \text{ is even} \end{cases} = \overline{\mu_f^X(\overline{H})}, H \in R_f^*(X) \quad (2)$$

(In the equations (1), (2) the superior bar notes two things: the complementary of a set and the logical complement.)

Let the sequence of sets  $A_n \in R_f^*(X), n \in \mathbf{N}$  that are disjoint\* two by two:

$$n, m \in \mathbf{N}, n \neq m \Rightarrow A_n \vee A_m = X \text{ (i.e. } \overline{A_n} \wedge \overline{A_m} = \emptyset) \quad (3)$$

so that  $\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in R_f^*(X)$ . Because from the definition of  $R_f^*(X)$ , the set

$$\overline{\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \overline{A_n} \quad (4)$$

is finite, there results the existence of a rank  $N$  with the property that  $\overline{A_n}$  are empty for  $n > N$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f^{*X}(\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n) &= \mu_f^X(\overline{\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n}) = \mu_f^X(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \overline{A_n}) = \mu_f^X(\overline{A_0} \vee \overline{A_1} \vee \dots \vee \overline{A_N}) = \\ &= \overline{\mu_f^X(\overline{A_0}) \oplus \mu_f^X(\overline{A_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_f^X(\overline{A_N})} = \overline{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_f^X(\overline{A_n})} = \overline{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_f^X(\overline{A_n})} = \\ &= \overline{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_f^{*X}(A_n)} = \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_f^{*X}(A_n) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

#### 4. The Behavior of the Measures Relative to the Monotonous Sequences of Sets

4.1 a) The family  $A_n \subset X, n \in \mathbf{N}$  is called *ascending sequence* of sets if

$$A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \quad (1)$$

In this case, the reunion  $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n$  is called the *limit* of the sequence and is noted

sometimes with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ .

b) The family  $A_n \subset X, n \in \mathbf{N}$  is called *descending sequence* of sets if

$$A_0 \supset A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots \quad (2)$$

The intersection  $\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n$  is called the *limit* of the sequence and is noted sometimes with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ .

c) If the sequence  $A_n \subset X, n \in \mathbf{N}$  is either ascending, or descending, then we say that it is *monotonous*.

4.2 **Theorem** Let  $U \subset 2^X$  a set ring and the function  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ .

a) Let  $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$  an arbitrary ascending sequence of sets satisfying the property that the set

$$A = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \quad (1)$$

belongs to  $U$ . If  $\mu$  is a measure, then the binary sequence  $(\mu(A_n))_n$  is convergent (see 3.5 a)) and it is true:

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \quad (2)$$

b) Suppose that  $\mu$  is additive and it satisfies the property: for any ascending sequence  $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$  of sets so that its reunion  $A$  belongs to  $U$ , the binary sequence  $(\mu(A_n))_n$  is convergent and the relation (2) takes place. Then  $\mu$  is a measure.

**Proof** a) We have the disjoint reunion:

$$A = A_0 \vee (A_1 - A_0) \vee \dots \vee (A_{n+1} - A_n) \vee \dots \quad (3)$$

Because  $\mu$  is a measure, it results that there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  so that

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu(A_{n+1} - A_n) = 0 \quad (4)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= \mu(A_0) \oplus \mu(A_1 - A_0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A_{N+1} - A_N) = \\ &= \mu(A_0) \oplus \mu(A_1) \oplus \mu(A_0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A_{N+1}) \oplus \mu(A_N) = \mu(A_{N+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(4) is equivalent with the convergence of the sequence  $(\mu(A_n))_n$ , as it can be rewritten under the form:

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu(A_{n+1}) = \mu(A_n) \quad (6)$$

and (5) is equivalent in this situation with (2). In the last equations, we have used 2.4 a.2) under the form:

$$\mu(A_{n+1} - A_n) = \mu(A_{n+1}) \oplus \mu(A_{n+1} \wedge A_n) = \mu(A_{n+1}) \oplus \mu(A_n), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (7)$$

b) Let  $A'_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$  a sequence of sets that are disjoint two by two and let us suppose that their reunion

$$A = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A'_n \quad (8)$$

belongs to  $U$ . We define the sequence  $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$  by:

$$A_n = A'_0 \vee A'_1 \vee \dots \vee A'_n, n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (9)$$

and it is remarked that it is ascending and (1) is satisfied. The hypothesis states the convergence of the sequence with the general term

$$\mu(A_n) = \mu(A'_0) \oplus \mu(A'_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A'_n) \quad (10)$$

in other words there exists an  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  for which the implication

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu(A'_n) = 0 \quad (11)$$

is true. The relation (2) becomes

$$\mu(A) = \mu(A'_0) \oplus \mu(A'_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A'_N) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A'_n) \quad (12)$$

i.e.  $\mu$  is a measure.

4.3 **Theorem** It is considered the set ring  $U$  and the function  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ .

a) We suppose that  $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$  is an arbitrary descending sequence of sets whose intersection

$$A = \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \quad (1)$$

belongs to  $U$  and that  $\mu$  is a measure. Then the binary sequence  $(\mu(A_n))_n$  is convergent and it is true:

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \quad (2)$$

b) Let us suppose that  $\mu$  is additive and the next property is satisfied: for any descending sequence  $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$  of sets so that its intersection  $A$  belongs to  $U$ , the binary sequence  $(\mu(A_n))_n$  is convergent and the relation (2) is true. In these circumstances  $\mu$  is a measure.

**Proof** a) Let us remark for the beginning that the set

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (A_0 - A_n) = A_0 - \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n = A_0 - A \quad (3)$$

belongs to  $U$  and the sequence of sets

$$A_0 - A_0 \subset A_0 - A_1 \subset A_0 - A_2 \subset \dots \quad (4)$$

is ascending. We apply 4.2 a) resulting that the binary sequence  $(\mu(A_0 - A_n))_n$  is convergent and that it takes place

$$\mu(A_0 - A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_0 - A_n) \quad (5)$$

From (5) it results that

$$\mu(A_0) \oplus \mu(A) = \mu(A_0) \oplus \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \quad (6)$$

and we have the validity of (2).

b) Let  $A'_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$  a sequence of sets that are disjoint two by two with the property that their reunion

$$A' = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A'_n \quad (7)$$

belongs to  $U$ . We define the sequence of sets from  $U$ :

$$A_n = A' - (A'_0 \vee A'_1 \vee \dots \vee A'_n) = (A' - A'_0) \wedge (A' - A'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (A' - A'_n) \quad (8)$$

where  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  that proves to be descending and its meet

$$A = \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n = \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \bigwedge_{k=0}^n (A' - A'_k) = A' - \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \bigvee_{k=0}^n A'_k = A' - A' = \emptyset \quad (9)$$

belongs to  $U$ . The hypothesis states that the binary sequence  $(\mu(A_n))_n$  is convergent and the relation (2) becomes:

$$0 = \mu\left(\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \quad (10)$$

There exists a rank  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  so that for any  $n > N$  we have:

$$0 = \mu(A_n) = \mu(A' - (A'_0 \vee A'_1 \vee \dots \vee A'_n)) = \mu(A') \oplus \mu(A'_0) \oplus \mu(A'_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A'_n) \quad (11)$$

We have that  $(\mu(A'_n))_n$  converges to 0 and if  $k > N$  then

$$\mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A'_n) = \mu(A') = \sum_{n=0}^k \mu(A'_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A'_n) \quad (12)$$

## 5. Derivable Measures

5.1 In this paragraph we shall consider that the total space  $X$  is equal with  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

The elements  $x \in X$  will be consequently  $n$ -tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

5.2 We define the family

$$U_n = \{A \mid A \subset \mathbf{R}^n, A \text{ is bounded}\} \quad (1)$$

It is a set ring (relative to  $\Delta$  and  $\wedge$ ).

5.3 Let  $A \in U_n$  be a bounded set. Its *diameter* is defined to be the real non-negative number

$$d(A) = \sup_{x, y \in A} \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2} \quad (1)$$

5.4 We define the *locally finite* sets from  $\mathbf{R}^n$  to be these sets  $H \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  with the property that

$$\forall A \in U_n, A \wedge H \text{ is finite}$$

5.5 The set of the locally finite sets from  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is noted with  $Loc_f^{(n)}$  and it is a set ring.

5.6 **Proposition** Let us take a set  $H \in Loc_f^{(n)}$ . The function  $\mu_H : U_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  defined by:

$$\mu_H(A) = \pi(|A \wedge H|), A \in U_n \quad (1)$$

is a measure (the function  $\pi$  was defined at 3.13 (5)).

**Proof** Let  $A_p \in U_n$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  a family of sets that are disjoint two by two with the property that  $\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} A_p \in U_n$ . Because  $\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} A_p \wedge H$  is a finite set, there exists a number  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  with:

$$p > N \Rightarrow A_p \wedge H = \emptyset \quad (2)$$

We infer that

$$\{p \mid \mu_H(A_p) = 1\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, N\} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_H(\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} A_p) &= \pi(|\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} A_p \wedge H|) = \pi(|\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} (A_p \wedge H)|) = \\ &= \pi(|(A_0 \wedge H) \vee (A_1 \wedge H) \vee \dots \vee (A_N \wedge H)|) = \\ &= \pi(|A_0 \wedge H| + |A_1 \wedge H| + \dots + |A_N \wedge H|) = \\ &= \pi(|A_0 \wedge H|) \oplus \pi(|A_1 \wedge H|) \oplus \dots \oplus \pi(|A_N \wedge H|) = \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$= \Xi_{p \in N} \pi(|A_p \wedge H|) = \Xi_{p \in N} \mu_H(A_p)$$

5.7 **Proposition** The function  $\mu_H \in Ad_c(U_n)$  that was previously defined fulfills the property that for any  $A \in U_n$  and  $x \in A$ :

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \exists a \in \mathbf{B}_2, \forall B \in U_n, (x \in B \text{ and } d(B) < \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \mu_H(B) = a \quad (1)$$

**Proof** We define the real positive number

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \min \{ \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2} \mid y \in H - \{x\}, H - \{x\} \neq \emptyset \\ 1 \end{cases}, H - \{x\} = \emptyset \quad (2)$$

Such an  $\varepsilon$  exists, if not there would exist a sphere  $S_x$  with the center in  $x$  and the property that  $S_x \wedge H$  is infinite and this is a contradiction with the hypothesis  $H \in Loc_f^{(n)}$ .

Any bounded set  $B \in U_n$  with the properties that  $x \in B$  and  $d(B) < \varepsilon$  fulfills the relations:

$$(B - \{x\}) \wedge H = \emptyset \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_H(B - \{x\}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\mu_H(B) = \mu_H((B - \{x\}) \vee \{x\}) = \mu_H(B - \{x\}) \oplus \mu_H(\{x\}) = \quad (5)$$

$$= \mu_H(\{x\}) = \pi(|\{x\} \wedge H|) = \begin{cases} 1, x \in H \\ 0, x \notin H \end{cases}$$

5.8 Let now  $\mu : U_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  be a measure.

a) We say that it is *derivable in*  $x \in A$ , where  $A \in U_n$ , if

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \exists a \in \mathbf{B}_2, \forall B \in U_n, (x \in B \text{ and } d(B) < \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \mu(B) = a \quad (1)$$

b) In the case that the property of derivability of  $\mu$  takes place in any  $x \in A$ , we say that  $\mu$  is *derivable on*  $A$ .

c) If  $\mu$  is derivable on any set  $A \in U_n$ , then it is called *derivable*.

5.9 The number  $a \in \mathbf{B}_2$  depending on  $x \in A$  and the function  $A \ni x \mathbf{a} a \in \mathbf{B}_2$  whose existence is stated in 5.8 are called the *derivative of  $\mu$  in  $x$* , respectively the *derivative function of  $\mu$  in  $x$* .

5.10 The derivative of  $\mu$  in  $x$  and the derivative function of  $\mu$  in  $x$  are noted with  $d\mu(x)$ . Other notations are:

- $\frac{d\mu}{dl}(x)$ , if  $n = 1$
- $\frac{d\mu}{dS}(x)$ , if  $n = 2$
- $\frac{d\mu}{dV}(x)$ , if  $n = 3$

5.11 **Remark** The set  $B \in U_n$  formed by one element,  $x \in A$

$$B = \{x\} \quad (1)$$

has the property that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$x \in B \text{ and } d(B) = 0 < \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

from where it is inferred that, if  $\mu$  is derivable in  $x$ , then  $d\mu(x)$ , that generally does not depend on  $B$ , is given by:

$$d\mu(x) = \mu(\{x\}) \quad (3)$$

5.12 a) We suppose that  $\mu$  is a derivable measure on  $A$ . The set

$$\text{supp}_A d\mu = \{x \mid x \in A, d\mu(x) = 1\} = \{x \mid x \in A, \mu(\{x\}) = 1\} \quad (1)$$

is called the *support of  $d\mu$  on  $A$* .

b) If  $\mu$  is derivable (on any set  $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ), then by definition the set

$$\text{supp } d\mu = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^n, d\mu(x) = 1\} = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \mu(\{x\}) = 1\} \quad (2)$$

is called the *support of  $d\mu$  (on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ )*.

5.13 **Theorem** We consider the derivable measure  $\mu : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  on the closed set  $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$  ( $A$  is compact). Then the set  $\text{supp}_A d\mu$  is finite.

**Proof** Let us suppose that  $\text{supp}_A d\mu$  is infinite, in contradiction with the conclusion of the theorem. Because  $A$  is bounded, there exists (Cesaro) a convergent sequence

$x^p \in \text{supp}_A d\mu$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  and the fact that  $A$  is closed implies that

$$x = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} x^p \quad (1)$$

belongs to  $A$ . We apply the hypothesis of derivability of  $\mu$  in  $x$ :

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall B \in \mathcal{U}_n, (x \in B \text{ and } d(B) < \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \mu(B) = \mu(\{x\}) \quad (2)$$

We fix  $\varepsilon$ ,  $B$  like above so that for some  $x^p \neq x$  it is true in addition  $x^p \in B$ . The set  $B - \{x^p\}$  satisfies the same hypothesis like  $B$ , that is:

$$x \in B - \{x^p\} \text{ and } d(B - \{x^p\}) \leq d(B) < \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

and the conclusion must be the same:

$$\mu(B - \{x^p\}) = \mu(\{x\}) \quad (4)$$

It is inferred that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x\}) &= \mu(B) = \mu((B - \{x^p\}) \vee \{x^p\}) = \\ &= \mu(B - \{x^p\}) \oplus \mu(\{x^p\}) = \mu(\{x\}) \oplus 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The last equation is a contradiction, having its origin in our supposition that  $\text{supp}_A d\mu$  is infinite.

5.14 **Corollary** Let the measure  $\mu : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ .

a) If  $\mu$  is derivable on the topological closure  $\bar{A}$  of the set  $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$ , then:

a.1) the set  $\text{supp}_A d\mu$  is finite

$$a.2) \quad \forall x \in A, \exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall B \in \mathcal{U}_n, (x \in B \text{ and } d(B) < \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \mu(B - \{x\}) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$a.3) \quad \mu(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad (2)$$

a.4) For any partition  $A_i \subset A$ ,  $i \in I$ , we have

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(A_i) \quad (3)$$

b) If the measure  $\mu$  is derivable, then the set  $\text{supp } d\mu$  is locally finite.

**Proof** a.3) If  $\text{supp}_A d\mu$  is empty, then for any  $x \in A$  we have that  $x \notin \text{supp}_A d\mu$  and by replacing in 5.8 (1)  $B$  with  $A$  and  $a$  with  $\mu(\{x\})$ , it results

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\{x\}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

making the statement of the theorem obvious.

We suppose now that

$$\text{supp}_A d\mu = \{x^1, \dots, x^p\}, p \geq 1 \quad (5)$$

There exists a partition  $A_1, \dots, A_p \in \mathcal{U}_n$  of  $A$  with the property that  $x^i \in A_i, i = \overline{1, p}$  and moreover

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\bigvee_{i=1}^p A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^p \mu(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^p \mu(\{x^i\}) = \sum_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\}) (= \pi(p)) \quad (6)$$

b)  $\mu$  is derivable on the compacts  $\overline{A} \in \mathcal{U}_n$  and from a.1) all the sets

$$A \wedge \text{supp} d\mu = \text{supp}_A d\mu \quad (7)$$

are finite.

5.15 Let us suppose that  $\mu : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is derivable on the topological closure  $\overline{A}$  of  $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$ . The binary number

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\}) = \sum_{x \in A} d\mu(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} f(x) \cdot d\mu(x) \quad (1)$$

is noted with  $\int_A d\mu, \int_A f \cdot d\mu$  or  $\int f \cdot d\mu$  and is called the *integral of  $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  relative to  $\mu$* , where the relation between  $f$  and  $A$  is by definition the following:

$$A = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^n, f(x) = 1\} = \text{supp} f \quad (2)$$

5.16 We note with

$$I_{Loc}^{(n)} = \{f \mid f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, \text{supp} f \in \text{Loc}_f^{(n)}\} \quad (1)$$

the  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the functions with locally finite support, that are called *locally integrable functions*.

5.17 **Theorem** a) The function  $g \in I_{Loc}^{(n)}$  defines a derivable measure  $\mu^g : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  by the formula:

$$\mu^g(A) = \pi(|A \wedge \text{supp} g|), A \in \mathcal{U}_n \quad (1)$$

It is true the relation

$$d\mu^g(x) = g(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (2)$$

b) Conversely, if  $\mu : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is a derivable measure, then there exists in a unique manner the function  $g \in I_{Loc}^{(n)}$  so that

$$\mu(A) = \pi(|A \wedge \text{supp} g|), A \in \mathcal{U}_n \quad (3)$$

being also true the relation

$$d\mu(x) = g(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (4)$$

**Proof** a) The fact that  $\mu^g$  is a measure was already proved at 5.6, if we put

$$\mu^g(A) = \mu_{supp g}(A), A \in \mathbf{U}_n \quad (5)$$

and (2) results from

$$d\mu^g(x) = \mu^g(\{x\}) = \pi(|\{x\} \wedge supp g|) = \begin{cases} \pi(1) = 1, & \text{if } x \in supp g \\ \pi(0) = 0, & \text{if } x \notin supp g \end{cases} = g(x) \quad (6)$$

b) If  $\mu$  is derivable, then  $supp d\mu \in Loc_f^{(n)}$  from 5.14 b) and the function

$g : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  defined by:

$$g(x) = d\mu(x) = \mu(\{x\}), x \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (7)$$

is locally integrable. As (4) was proved at (7), we prove (3) by taking into account 5.14 a.3):

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\}) = \pi(|A \wedge supp d\mu|) = \pi(|A \wedge supp g|), A \in \mathbf{U}_n \quad (8)$$

5.18 **Corollary** For  $g \in I_{Loc}^{(n)}$  and  $A \in \mathbf{U}_n$  it is defined the integral

$$\int_A g = \int d\mu^g = \mu^g(A) = \pi(|A \wedge supp g|) \quad (1)$$

## 6. The Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measure

6.1 We say about the function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  that

a) it has a *left limit* in any point  $t \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ , if (see 3.10)

$$\forall t \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}, \exists t' < t, \exists f(t-0) \in \mathbf{B}_2, \forall \xi \in (t', t), f(\xi) = f(t-0) \quad (1)$$

b) it is *left continuous* in any point  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , if a) is true in any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and moreover:

$$\forall t \in \mathbf{R}, f(t) = f(t-0) \quad (2)$$

6.2 We fix a function  $f$  satisfying the properties from 6.1. We prolong  $f$  to  $\mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$  by left continuity in the point  $\infty$ :

$$f(\infty) = f(\infty-0) \quad (1)$$

and we note this new function with  $f$  too.

6.3 The relation

$$\mu([\![a_1, b_1]\!] \Delta \dots \Delta [\![a_n, b_n]\!]) = f(a_1) \oplus f(b_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f(a_n) \oplus f(b_n) \quad (1)$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$  obviously defines an additive function  $\mu : Sym^- \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$

(see 3.11 for the definition of the symmetrical intervals and of  $Sym^-$ ). Our purpose is that of proving the next:

6.4 **Theorem**  $\mu$  is a measure.

**Proof** Let  $A_n \in Sym^-, n \in \mathbf{N}$  a sequence of sets that are disjoint two by two with the property that the reunion

$$A = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \quad (1)$$

belongs to  $Sym^-$ . We can suppose without loss that all the sets  $A_n$  are non-empty.

Case a)  $(t_n)_n$  is a real strictly increasing sequence that converges to  $b$  and we have:



$$-\infty < a = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < b \leq \infty \quad (2)$$

$$A_n = [t_n, t_{n+1}), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (3)$$

$$A = [a, b) \quad (4)$$

There exists an  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  with

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu(A_n) = f(t_n) \oplus f(t_{n+1}) = f(b-0) \oplus f(b-0) = 0 \quad (5)$$

and we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_n) &= \bigoplus_{n=0}^N \mu(A_n) = f(t_0) \oplus f(t_1) \oplus f(t_1) \oplus f(t_2) \oplus \dots \oplus f(t_N) \oplus f(t_{N+1}) \\ &= f(t_0) \oplus f(t_{N+1}) = f(a) \oplus f(b-0) = f(a) \oplus f(b) = \mu(A) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Case b)  $A$  is of the general form

$$A = [a_1, b_1) \vee [a_2, b_2) \vee \dots \vee [a_k, b_k) \quad (7)$$

where

$$-\infty < a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_k < b_k \leq \infty \quad (8)$$

We note

$$A_{n,i} = A_n \wedge [a_i, b_i) \quad (9)$$

where  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $i = \overline{1, k}$ ; we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_n) &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (\mu(A_{n,1} \vee A_{n,2} \vee \dots \vee A_{n,k})) = \\ &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (\mu(A_{n,1}) \oplus \mu(A_{n,2}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A_{n,k})) = \\ &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_{n,1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_{n,2}) \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_{n,k}) = \\ &= \mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_{n,1}) \oplus \mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_{n,2}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_{n,k}) = \quad (\text{from a}) \\ &= \mu([a_1, b_1)) \oplus \mu([a_2, b_2)) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu([a_k, b_k)) = \\ &= \mu([a_1, b_1) \vee [a_2, b_2) \vee \dots \vee [a_k, b_k)) = \mu(A) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

6.5 The measure  $\mu$  that was defined at 6.3 is called the *(left) Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure associated to  $f$* .

6.6 The right dual construction is made starting from an  $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  function (see 6.1) with a right limit in any  $t \in \{-\infty\} \vee \mathbf{R}$ , right continuous in any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  that is prolonged (see 6.2) to  $\{-\infty\} \vee \mathbf{R}$  by right continuity in the point  $-\infty$ . It is defined then (see 6.3) a measure

$\text{Sym}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ , where  $\text{Sym}^+$ , the dual of  $\text{Sym}^-$ , is the set ring generated by the symmetrical intervals

$$((a, b]) = \begin{cases} (a, b], a < b \\ (b, a], b < a \\ \emptyset, a = b \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $a, b \in \{-\infty\} \vee \mathbf{R}$ .

6.7 **Theorem** Let  $\mu_1 : \text{Sym}^- \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  an arbitrary measure.

a) The function

$$g(t) = \mu_1([a, t)) \quad (1)$$

where  $a, t \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$  is left continuous on  $\mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ .

b)  $\mu_1$  is the left Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure associated to  $g$ .

**Proof** a) It is considered the sequence  $(t_n)_n$

$$-\infty < a = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t \leq \infty \quad (2)$$

that is strictly increasing and convergent to  $t$ . The sets

$$A_n = [t_n, t_{n+1}), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (3)$$

belong to  $Sym^-$  and are disjoint two by two and their reunion

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n = [a, t) \quad (4)$$

is an element from  $Sym^-$  too. It results that there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  with

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu_1(A_n) = \mu_1([t_n, t_{n+1})) = \mu_1([a, t_n)) \oplus \mu_1([a, t_{n+1})) = g(t_n) \oplus g(t_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (5)$$

showing the existence of  $g(t-0)$ . But

$$g(t) = \mu_1([a, t)) = \mu_1\left(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} [t_n, t_{n+1})\right) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_1([t_n, t_{n+1})) = \quad (6)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{n=0}^N \mu_1([t_n, t_{n+1})) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^N (g(t_n) \oplus g(t_{n+1})) = g(t_0) \oplus g(t_{N+1})$$

From the fact that

$$g(t_0) = \mu_1([a, a)) = \mu_1(\emptyset) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$g(t_{N+1}) = g(t-0) \quad (8)$$

it results, as  $t$  is arbitrary, the statement of the theorem.

b) We have that

$$\mu_1([a_1, b_1)) \Delta \dots \Delta ([a_n, b_n)) = \quad (9)$$

$$= \mu_1([a, a_1)) \Delta ([a, b_1)) \Delta \dots \Delta ([a, a_n)) \Delta ([a, b_n)) =$$

$$= \mu_1([a, a_1)) \oplus \mu_1([a, b_1)) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_1([a, a_n)) \oplus \mu_1([a, b_n)) =$$

$$= g(a_1) \oplus g(b_1) \oplus \dots \oplus g(a_n) \oplus g(b_n)$$

is true for any  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ .

## 7. Measurable Spaces and Measurable Functions. The Integration of the Binary Functions Relative to a Measure

7.1 It is called *measurable space* a pair  $(X, \mathbf{U})$  where  $X$  is a set and  $\mathbf{U} \subset 2^X$  is a ring of subsets of  $X$ . The sets  $A \in \mathbf{U}$  are called *measurable*.

7.2 We say that we have defined a *measurable*, or *integrable function*  $f : (X, \mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  where  $(X, \mathbf{U})$  is a measurable space, if it is given the function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  with  $\text{supp } f \in \mathbf{U}$ , i.e. the support of  $f$  is a measurable set.

7.3 Recall that the set of the binary functions

$$\mathbf{U}' = \{f \mid f : (X, \mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, f \text{ is measurable}\} \quad (1)$$

(see 1.13, 1.14) is a  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra relative to the obvious laws. As ring, it is isomorphic with  $\mathbf{U}$ .

7.4 Let  $(X, \mathbf{U})$  a measurable space and  $M \subset X$ . Because the set

$$U \wedge M = \{A \wedge M \mid A \in U\} \quad (1)$$

is a set ring, the pair  $(M, U \wedge M)$  is a measurable space, called *measurable subspace* of  $(X, U)$ .

7.5 **Proposition** a) If  $f : (X, U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is measurable, then its restriction  $f|_M : (M, U \wedge M) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is measurable.

b) If  $g : (M, U \wedge M) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is measurable, then it can be prolonged to a measurable function  $f : (X, U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ .

7.6 Let us suppose that  $(X, U)$  is a measurable space,  $f : (X, U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is a measurable function and  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is a measure. The number  $\mu(\text{supp } f)$  is called the *integral of  $f$  relative to  $\mu$*  and is noted with  $\int f \cdot d\mu$ .

7.7 Let  $f_n, f \in U', n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

a) If

$$\text{supp } f_0 \subset \text{supp } f_1 \subset \text{supp } f_2 \subset \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \text{supp } f_n = \text{supp } f \quad (2)$$

then we say that  $f_n$  converges, or tends increasingly to  $f$  and this fact is noted with  $f_n \uparrow f$ .

b) If

$$\text{supp } f_0 \supset \text{supp } f_1 \supset \text{supp } f_2 \supset \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \text{supp } f_n = \text{supp } f \quad (2)$$

then we say that  $f_n$  converges, or tends decreasingly to  $f$  and this fact is noted with  $f_n \downarrow f$ .

c) In one of the situations from a), b) we say that  $f_n$  converges, or tends monotonously to  $f$  and the notation is  $f_n \mathbf{b} f$ .

7.8 Let us suppose that  $f, g : (X, U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  are measurable and that  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  is a measure. We say that  $f$  and  $g$  are *equal almost everywhere* and we write this fact with

$$f = g \text{ a.e.} \quad (1)$$

if

$$\mu(\{x \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}) = \mu(\text{supp } f \Delta \text{supp } g) = 0 \quad (2)$$

or, in an equivalent manner, if

$$\mu(\text{supp } f) = \mu(\text{supp } g) \quad (3)$$

7.9 **Proposition** The function  $U' \ni f \mathbf{a} \int f \cdot d\mu \in \mathbf{B}_2$  satisfies the following properties:

a) it is linear

$$b) f_n \mathbf{b} f \Rightarrow \int f_n \cdot d\mu \rightarrow \int f \cdot d\mu$$

$$c) f = g \text{ a.e.} \Leftrightarrow \int f \cdot d\mu = \int g \cdot d\mu$$

where  $f_n, f, g \in U', n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**Proof** b) is a restatement of 4.2 a) and 4.3 a).

7.10 **Corollary** If  $f_n \in U', n \in \mathbf{N}$  converges to 0 decreasingly, then

$$\int f_n \cdot d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

7.11 Let  $f_n, f : (X, U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, n \in \mathbf{N}$  measurable and  $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  a measure. We say that  $f_n$  tends to  $f$  in measure and we note this property with  $f_n \xrightarrow[\mu]{} f$  if

$$\int f_n \cdot d\mu \rightarrow \int f \cdot d\mu \quad (2)$$

7.12 Let  $f, \chi_A : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  two functions, where  $f$  is arbitrary and  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of the set  $A \subset X$ . If  $A \wedge \text{supp } f \in U$  - condition that is called of *integrability*- then the number

$$\int_A f \cdot d\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int (\chi_A \cdot f) \cdot d\mu \quad (1)$$

is called the *integral of  $f$ , on  $A$ , relative to  $\mu$* .

7.13 The function  $f \cdot \mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  defined by

$$(f \cdot \mu)(A) = \int_A f \cdot d\mu \quad (1)$$

where  $A, \text{supp } f \in U$  is a measure, that coincides with the restriction of  $\mu$  at  $\text{supp } f$ .

## 8. Riemann Integrals

8.1 We end the paper with a short paragraph that introduces the Riemann integrals of the  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  functions (generalizations are possible to  $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  functions). The main feature for this type of integral is considering the set ring  $R_f(\mathbf{R})$  and the finite Boolean measure (see 3.8)  $\mu_f^{\mathbf{R}} : R_f(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ .

8.2 For the set  $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ , the property  $A \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(\mathbf{R})$  (see 7.12) is called the condition of *Riemann integrability of  $f$  on  $A$* . If it is fulfilled, we say that  $f$  is *Riemann integrable*, or *integrable in the sense of Riemann, on  $A$* .

8.3 **Special cases** for 8.2. a)  $[[a, b)) \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(\mathbf{R})$  (see 3.12 (1) for the definition of  $I_{[[a, b))}$ ),  $a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ . These functions are called *left integrable* (in the sense of Riemann) *from  $a$  to  $b$* .

b)  $\mathbf{R} \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(\mathbf{R})$  (see 3.12 (2) for the definition of  $I_\infty$ ). These functions are called *integrable* (in the sense of Riemann).

c)  $\forall a, b \in \mathbf{R}, (a, b) \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(\mathbf{R})$  (see 5.4, 5.5, 5.16 for the definition of  $I_{Loc}^{(1)}$ ). These functions are called *locally integrable* (in the sense of Riemann) and they have a locally finite support.

d)  $\forall a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}, (a, b) \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(\mathbf{R})$  defines the  $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of functions  $I_{Sup}$ . We say about these functions that they are *left integrable* (in the sense of Riemann) and

that they have the support *superiorly finite*, dual notion to that of inferiorly finite set that was defined at 3.9.

8.4 If  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $A$ , then the number (see 7.12 (1))

$$\int_A f \cdot d\mu_f^{\mathbf{R}} = \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}(A \wedge \text{supp } f) = \Xi_{x \in A} f(x) \quad (1)$$

is called the *integral, in the sense of Riemann, of  $f$ , on  $A$* .

8.5 **Special cases** for 8.4 a)  $f \in I_{[[a,b))}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ ; the integral  $\int_{[[a,b))} f \cdot d\mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}$  is noted

with  $\int_a^b f$  and is called the *left integral* (in the sense of Riemann) of  $f$  from  $a$  to  $b$ .

b)  $f \in I_{\infty}$ ; the integral  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} f \cdot d\mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}$  is usually noted with  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f$  and is called the *integral* (in the sense of Riemann) of  $f$ .

8.6 The cases 8.3 a) and 8.5 a) have right duals, that refer to symmetrical intervals of the form  $((a, b]]$ ,  $a, b \in \{-\infty\} \vee \mathbf{R}$  (see 6.6).

8.7 We define the subring of sets  $Sym' \subset Sym^-$  to be the one that is generated by the symmetrical intervals  $[[a, b))$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  (at  $Sym^-$  we had  $[[a, b))$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ ).

8.8 a) Let us suppose that  $f \in I_{Loc}^{(1)}$ . Then the measure  $f \cdot \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}} : Sym' \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$  (see 7.13) is called the *indefinite integral* of  $f$ .

b) The function  $F^- : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ , which is defined in the next manner:

$$F^-(t) = f \cdot \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}([[a, t))) , t \in \mathbf{R} \quad (1)$$

where  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  is a parameter is called the *left primitive* of  $f$ .

c) The left primitive  $F^-(t)$  has a left limit and it is left continuous in any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .

8.9 If at 8.8  $f \in I_{Sup}$  (where  $I_{Sup} \subset I_{Loc}^{(1)}$ ), then  $f \cdot \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}$  is extended to  $Sym^-$  and  $F^-$  is extended to  $\mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ , by left continuity in the point  $\infty$ .  $f \cdot \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}$  is in this situation the left Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure associated to  $F^-$  (see 6.3).

8.10 Together with the duals in the left-right sense that have appeared having their origin in the order of  $\mathbf{R}$ , the previous notions have also another type of duality, so called in the algebraical sense, resulting by the replacement of 0 with 1 and viceversa, to be compared,

from the table 1.1, the laws ' $\oplus$ ' and ' $\otimes$ '. For example, the algebraical dual of  $\int_a^b f$  is

defined like this:

$$\int_a^{b*-} f = \bigotimes_{x \in [[a, b))} f(x) \quad (1)$$

## **Bibliography**

- [1] Nicu Boboc, Gheorghe Bucur, Masura si capacitate (measure and Capacity, in Romanian), Ed. stiintifica si enciclopedica, Bucuresti, 1985  
[2] Miron Nicolescu, Analiza matematica (Mathematical Analysis, in Romanian), vol 1-3, Ed. Tehnica, Bucuresti, 1957

(Received November 15, 1998)